

1 EDDIE R. JIMENEZ (SBN 10376)
ejimenez@aldridgepite.com
2 **ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP**
7220 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140
3 Las Vegas, NV 89113
Telephone: (858) 750-7600
4 Facsimile: (619) 590-1385
Mailing Address:
5 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200
P.O. Box 17933
6 San Diego, California 92177-0933

7
8 Attorneys for Fifth Third Mortgage Company

9 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 In re

12 SCHULTE PROPERTIES LLC,
13 Debtor.

Case No. 18-12734-mkn

Chapter 11

**FIFTH THIRD MORTGAGE
COMPANY'S MOTION FOR
PROTECTIVE ORDER OR, IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR
MODIFICATION OF SUBPOENA [FED.
R. CIV. PROC. 26(c); FED R. BANKR.
PROC. 7026; LBR 7037]**

Hearing:

Date: July 14, 2021

Time: 10:30 am

Judge: Honorable Mike K. Nakagawa

Courtroom: 2

21
22 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), adopted by Bankruptcy Rule of Civil
23 Procedure 7026, *Creditor* Fifth Third Mortgage Company ("Fifth Third") hereby submits its
24 Motion for Protective Order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) and Memorandum of Points and
25 Authorities in support thereof.

26 /././

27 /././

28 /././

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Creditor Fifth Third Mortgage Company (“Fifth Third”) hereby moves this Court pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 26(b)(2)(C)(i) and 26(c) adopted by Bankruptcy Rule of Civil Procedure 7026, for a protective order against the Debtor, Schulte Properties, LLC’s (“Debtor”) *Subpoena Duces Tecum* (“Subpoena”), which seeks production of documents from Fifth Third.

The Motion is made on the grounds that documents Debtor requests were either: (i) already produced by Fifth Third; (ii) equally available to Debtor; or (iii) objectionable as outlined in Fifth Third’s Responses to the original production requests. To the extent Debtor was dissatisfied with Fifth Third’s Responses to the production requests, Debtor failed to meet and confer regarding the Responses, file a motion to compel seeking additional production, or request a ruling on Fifth Third’s objections to the discovery requests. In the meantime, the discovery period expired. Debtor now seeks the same documents from Fifth Third. Debtor’s continued pursuit of said documents is inappropriate, burdensome, and an abuse of the judicial process.

Based on the foregoing, Fifth Third requests the Court issue a protective order preventing Debtor from continuing its pursuit of: (1) documents already produced by Fifth Third; (2) documents already in the Debtor’s possession, or equally available to the Debtor; (3) irrelevant documents that are not the subject of a current contested matter between the Parties; (4) privileged, proprietary, or confidential documents of Fifth Third, which are irrelevant to a contested matter; (5) and any other discovery not based on Fifth Third’s pending Plan Objection.

Fifth Third attempted to circumvent the need to file the present Motion by sending a meet and confer letter to Debtor’s counsel. Fifth Third’s advised Debtor it intended to file the instant Motion for Protective Order absent the withdrawal of the Subpoena. To date, Debtor has yet to withdraw the Subpoena. Based upon the foregoing, Fifth Third had no choice but to seek protection from the Court.

This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Declaration of Eddie R. Jimenez, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and the pleadings and papers on file in this action.

1 **PLEASE ALSO TAKE NOTICE** that unless the Court orders otherwise, any party
2 opposing this Motion must file and serve any objections or oppositions no later than fourteen (14)
3 days preceding the hearing date for the Motion in accordance with LR 9014(d). The opposition
4 must set forth all relevant facts and any relevant legal authority. An opposition must be supported
5 by affidavits or declarations that conform to the provisions of LR 9014(c).

6
7 If you object to the relief requested, you *must* file a **WRITTEN** response to this
8 pleading with the court. You *must* also serve your written response on the person who
9 sent you this notice.

10 If you do not file a written response with the court, or if you do not serve your written
11 response on the person who sent you this notice, then:

- 12 • The court may *refuse to allow you to speak* at the scheduled hearing; and
- 13 • The court may *rule against you* without formally calling the matter at the hearing.

14
15 **ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP**

16 Dated: June 11, 2021

/s/ Eddie R. Jimenez

17 EDDIE R. JIMENEZ
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

On May 28, 2021, the Debtor, Schulte Properties, LLC, (“Debtor”) filed a *Notice of Issuance of Subpoena* (“Subpoena”) requesting production of documents from twenty-seven (27) loan servicers/creditors, including Fifth Third, related to the Debtor’s multiple real properties. The Debtor now seeks blanket, identical, production of all documents, communications, statements, etc., from all servicers for all properties without limitation. As summarized below, Fifth Third requests a protective order prohibiting further pursuit of documents from Fifth Third or, in the alternative, an order modifying the scope and production required under the Subpoena.

First, the Parties already engaged in extensive discovery, including production of documents, following the hearing on approval of the Disclosure Statement in 2019. Specifically, Fifth Third responded to Requests for Production of Documents, and provided Debtor with hundreds of pages of documents at great time and expense. The Debtor now seeks production of the **same documents**. As a result, Fifth Third asserts the documents Debtor requests were either: (i) already produced by Fifth Third; (ii) equally available to the Debtor; or (iii) objectionable as outlined in Fifth Third’s Responses to the original production requests.

Second, to the extent Debtor was dissatisfied with Fifth Third’s Responses to the production requests, Debtor failed to meet and confer regarding the Responses, file a motion to compel seeking additional production, or request a ruling on Fifth Third’s objections to the discovery requests. In the meantime, the discovery period expired.

Third, the document requests are overbroad and unlimited in scope. Thus, the requests are calculated to annoy, embarrass, or oppress Fifth Third. To comply with these requests (again) would be an undue burden and expense on Fifth Third. To the extent the Court determines additional document production is warranted, Fifth Third requests an order modifying the scope of Subpoena as explained in greater detail below.

II. RELEVANT FACTS

On April 30, 2001, Borrower executed a promissory note in the principal sum of \$152,000.00 (the “Note”). The Note is secured by a deed of trust (the “Deed of Trust”)

1 encumbering the property located at 5218 Misty Morning Drive Las Vegas NV 89118
2 (“Property”). The Deed of Trust was assigned to Fifth Third. (*See* Claim No. 4-2).

3 On May 10, 2018, Debtor commenced the instant Bankruptcy Case by filing a third
4 voluntary petition under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and was assigned case number 18-
5 12734-mkn (the “Bankruptcy Case”).

6 On October 11, 2018, Fifth Third filed its Amended Proof of Claim reflecting a secured
7 claim of \$152,080.87, including *pre-petition* arrears of \$29,082.85 (the “Claim”). (*See* Claim No.
8 4-2). The Claim complies with the terms of the Confirmed Plan from the First Case. The Claim
9 included all loan documents and a pre-petition payment history to support the validity and amount
10 of the Claim. To date, the Debtor has yet to object to the Claim. The Claim is entitled to a
11 presumption of validity under the Federal Bankruptcy Rules. As a result, the Debtor is already in
12 possession of all loan documents and a pre-petition payment history for the Subject Loan.

13 On February 27, 2019, Debtor filed its proposed Chapter 11 Plan (“Plan”) and Disclosure
14 Statement. (Dkt Nos. 201-202).

15 On November 20, 2019, Fifth Third filed an *Objection to Confirmation of the Debtor’s*
16 *Amended Plan* (“Plan Objection”). (Dkt No. 601). Following hearings on approval of the
17 Disclosure Statement and Plan, the Court authorized the Parties to proceed with discovery.

18 During the discovery period, the Debtor served *Requests for Production of Documents*
19 (“Discovery Requests”) on Fifth Third.

20 On May 29, 2021, Fifth Third responded to the Discovery Requests with hundreds of pages
21 of documents (“Discovery Responses”). Specifically, Fifth Third provided Debtor with copies of
22 the loan documents, filed claim, filed pleadings, payment histories, monthly statements, escrow
23 statements, and communications with the borrower. However, Fifth Third objected to several
24 requests as irrelevant, burdensome, overbroad, vague, proprietary, confidential, or subject to
25 privilege. Debtor failed to meet and confer regarding the Discovery Responses, file a motion to
26 compel seeking additional production, or request a ruling on Fifth Third’s objections to the
27 Discovery Requests. In the meantime, the discovery period expired. (*See* Declaration of Eddie
28 Jimenez (“Declaration”), ¶5-6).

On May 28, 2021, the Debtor filed a *Notice of Issuance of Subpoena Duces Tecum* ("*Subpoena*") requesting production of documents from twenty-seven (27) loan servicers/creditors, including Fifth Third, related to the Debtor's dozens of real properties. The Debtor now seeks blanket, identical, production of all documents including:

1. Note, promissory note, endorsements, allonges, riders, attachments, amendments and/or modifications.
2. The deed of trust or mortgage, with any and all endorsements, allonges, riders, attachments and/or amendments.
3. Statement of account, accounting, and/or documents that concern, refer, relate to, or describe all payments, credits, debts, interest charges, late fees, attorney fees, advances for taxes and insurance, any other fees or charges placed on the account, and credits applied to the mortgage Loan account.
4. Collection notes, full payment histories, including any and all payment history commentary, account summaries, call logs, notices, and correspondence of any kind.
5. Mortgage and escrow statements, including records indicating account balance, and status since the Loans commencement with Fifth Third Mortgage Servicing.
6. Internal communications such as memoranda, handwritten notes, etc. as well as all electronically-stored information such as e-emails, telephone records, and correspondence from the Debtor.
7. Any and all communications and/or documents sent to the Debtor at the commencement of Fifth Third Bank Mortgage Loan Servicing the Loan including the address where the documents were sent.
8. Any and all contracts and/or agreements between you and the Debtor.
9. All documents evidencing any charges, fees, advances for taxes and insurance, or any amount applied for the Loan.
10. Any and all documents evidencing any transfer or assignment of the note, deed of trust and/or mortgage related to the Loan.
11. Any and all documents that identify the owner of the mortgage Loan as well as documents governing the relationship between Fifth Third Mortgage Servicing and the owner of the mortgage Loan.
12. Any and all documents and electronically stored information related to or documenting the transactions through which you acquired the portfolio of the servicing rights that included the servicing right of the Loan.
13. Any and all Form 1098, Mortgage Interest Statement, for the above loan.

(See Subpoena, Exhibit 88).

Following the issuance of the Subpoena, Aldridge Pite sent a Meet & Confer letter to the Debtor's counsel seeking to resolve the Subpoena and seeking clarification of any discoverable documents the Debtor believed Fifth Third failed to produce. To date, Aldridge Pite has yet to receive a substantive response from the Debtor's counsel. (See Declaration, ¶8).

Debtor's continued pursuit of additional documents already in the Debtor's possession, equally available to the Debtor, and/or previously objected to by Fifth Third is inappropriate,

burdensome, and an abuse of the judicial process. As a result, Fifth Third seeks a protective order as described below.

III. ARGUMENT

A. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO ENTER A PROTECTIVE ORDER TO PREVENT THE DEBTOR'S CONTINUED HARRASSMENT AND OPPRESSION OF FIFTH THIRD WITH UNWARRANTED DISCOVERY

1. Legal Standard

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) adopted by Bankruptcy Rule of Civil Procedure 7026, permits the court "for good cause shown" to issue a protective order "which justice requires to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense." Rule 26(c) provides in pertinent part:

A party or any person from whom discovery is sought may move for a protective order in the court where the action is pending...The motion must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. The court may, for good cause, issue an order to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, including one or more of the following:

- (A) forbidding the disclosure of discovery;
- (B) specifying terms, including time and place, for the disclosure or discovery;
- (C) prescribing a discovery method other than the one selected by the party seeking discovery;
- (D) forbidding inquiry into certain matters, or limiting the scope of disclosure or discovery to certain matters;
- (E) designating the person who may be present while the discovery is conducted;
- (F) requiring that a deposition be sealed and opened only on court order;
- (G) requiring that a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be revealed or be revealed only in a specified way; and
- (H) requiring that the parties simultaneously file specified documents or information in sealed envelopes, to be opened as the court directs.

Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(c)(1)(A)-(H). A party or other affected person may seek a protective order from the court to limit the frequency or extent of use of discovery methods Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b) and (c).

1 In order to establish good cause, the person moving for a protective order must provide a
 2 “particular and specific demonstration of fact, as distinguished from stereotyped and conclusory
 3 statements.” *Gen. Dynamics Corp. v. Selb Mfg. Co.*, 481 F.2d 1204, 1212 (8th Cir. 1973). The
 4 determination of good cause must include “a consideration of the relative hardship to the non-
 5 moving party should the protective order be granted.” *Id.*; *DeJong v. Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc.*,
 6 124 F.R.D. 207, 208 (W.D. Mo. 1988). The determination “is a factual matter to be determined
 7 from the nature and character of the information sought by deposition or interrogatory weighed in
 8 the balance of the factual issues involved in each action.” *Glick v. McKesson & Robbins, Inc.*, 10
 9 F.R.D. 477, 479 (W.D. Mo. 1950). Good cause may be shown where a party seeks discovery of
 10 matters that are relevant only to issues that are no longer before the court, and therefore not
 11 reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 26(b);
 12 *Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders*, 437 U.S. 340, 352 (1978); *United States v. 416.81 7 Acres of*
 13 *Land*, 514 F.2d 627, 632 (1975) (finding no error in the district court's *sua sponte* striking of
 14 interrogatories on the ground that they related to objections and issues that had previously been
 15 stricken by the court).

16 The court has broad latitude in granting protective orders to prevent the disclosure of
 17 information. *Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. General Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th
 18 Cir. 2002). The language of the statute confers “broad discretion on the trial court to decide when
 19 a protective order is appropriate and what degree of protection is required.” *Phillips*, 307 F.3d at
 20 1211 (quoting *Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart*, 467 U.S. 20, 36, 104 S. Ct. 2199, 81 L. Ed. 2d 17
 21 (1984). A protective order will be reversed only for abuse of discretion. *See Seattle Times Co.*, 467
 22 U.S. at 36.

23 **2. A Protective Order is Necessary as the Documents were Already Provided to**
the Debtor, are Equally Available to the Debtor, or Objectionable.

24 As discussed above, the Parties already engaged in extensive discovery production
 25 following the hearing on approval of the Disclosure Statement in 2019. Specifically, Fifth Third
 26 responded to Requests for Production of Documents and provided the Debtor with hundreds of
 27 pages of documents at great time and expense. The Debtor now seeks production of the **same**
 28 **documents**. As a result, Fifth Third asserts the documents requested in the Subpoena were either:

1 (i) already produced to the Debtor; (ii) equally available to the Debtor; or (iii) objectionable as
2 outlined in Fifth Third's Discovery Responses to the original production requests. (*See*
3 Declaration, ¶5).

4 First, the filed Claim included all loan documents and a pre-petition payment history to
5 support the validity and amount of the Claim. To date, the Debtor has yet to object to the Claim.
6 The Claim is entitled to a presumption of validity under the Federal Bankruptcy Rules. As a result,
7 the Debtor is already in possession of all loan documents and a pre-petition payment history for
8 the Subject Loan. Accordingly, the Subpoena requests relating to copies of the Note, Deed of Trust,
9 Assignments, ownership of the Loan, Contracts with the Debtor, and accountings are already in
10 the Debtor's possession and unwarranted. (Requests 1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 11). The Debtor's intention in
11 issuing the Subpoena is to harass or annoy Fifth Third. Requiring Fifth Third to re-produce
12 documents already provided to the Debtor, or equally available to the Debtor would be overly
13 burdensome, time consuming, expensive, and a waste of judicial resources.

14 Second, on May 29, 2020, Fifth Third responded to Debtor's Discovery Requests with
15 hundreds of pages of documents. Specifically, Fifth Third provided Debtor with copies of the loan
16 documents, filed claim, filed pleadings, payment histories, monthly statements, escrow statements,
17 and communications with the borrower for each Loan. (*See* Declaration, ¶5). Accordingly, in the
18 Subpoena Debtor requests relating to loan documents, account statements, payment histories,
19 escrow statements, and communications with the Debtor are already in the Debtor's possession
20 and unwarranted. (Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13). Again, requiring Fifth Third to re-
21 produce documents already provided to the Debtor, or equally available to the Debtor would be
22 overly burdensome.

23 Third, to the extent Fifth Third did not produce a given document during the discovery
24 period, Fifth Third raised appropriate objections in its Discovery Responses. Specifically, Fifth
25 Third asserts the following objections to each production request attached to the Debtor's
26 Subpoena:

- 27
- 28 • Request #1: Note, promissory note, endorsements, allonges, riders, attachments, amendments and/or modifications.

- Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's responses.
- Objection: Equally Available - The information sought in this interrogatory is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's Proof of Claim and prior Discovery Responses.
- Request #2: The deed of trust or mortgage, with any and all endorsements, allonges, riders, attachments and/or amendments.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's responses.
 - Objection: Equally Available - The information sought in this interrogatory is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's Proof of Claim and prior Discovery Responses. Further, this document is matter of public record.
- Request #3: Statement of account, accounting, and/or documents that concern, refer, relate to, or describe all payments, credits, debts, interest charges, late fees, attorney fees, advances for taxes and insurance, any other fees or charges placed on the account, and credits applied to the mortgage Loan account.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's responses. Debtor may refer to the Proof of Claim or the previously produced payment histories with Fifth Third's Discovery Responses.
 - Objection: Burdensome, Oppressive, Overbroad - This discovery request is broad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and is oppressive. To comply with the request would be an undue burden and expense on the Fifth Third. The request is calculated to annoy and harass Fifth Third. Creditor has no duty to create or modify responsive documents for the Debtor.
- Request #4: Collection notes, full payment histories, including any and all payment history commentary, account summaries, call logs, notices, and correspondence of any kind.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's responses. Debtor may refer to the Proof of Claim or the previously produced payment histories with Fifth Third's Discovery Responses.
 - Objection: Burdensome, Oppressive, Overbroad - This discovery request is broad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and is oppressive. To comply with the request would be an undue burden and expense on the Fifth Third. The request is calculated to annoy and harass Fifth Third. Creditor has no duty to create or modify responsive documents for the Debtor.
 - Objection: Attorney-Client Privilege, Attorney Work-Product Protection - The request seeks information subject to the attorney-client privilege. The attorney-client privilege is broadly construed, and extends to "factual information" and "legal advice." Further, this discovery request seeks attorney work product.
- Request #5: Mortgage and escrow statements, including records indicating account balance and status since the Loans commencement with Fifth Third Mortgage Servicing.
 - Objection: Equally Available - The information sought in this interrogatory is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's prior Discovery Responses and the mortgage and escrow statements sent to the Debtor. Further, Debtor is already in possession of any communications to/from the Debtor.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses. Debtor may refer to the previously produced mortgage and escrow statements with Fifth Third's Discovery Responses. Further, Debtor is already in possession of any communications to/from the Debtor.

- Objection: Burdensome, Oppressive, Overbroad - This discovery request is broad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and is oppressive. To comply with the request would be an undue burden and expense on the Fifth Third. The request is calculated to annoy and harass Fifth Third. Creditor has no duty to create or modify responsive documents for the Debtor.
- Request #6: Internal communications such as memoranda, handwritten notes, etc. as well as all electronically-stored information such as e-mails, telephone records, and correspondence from the Debtor.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses. Debtor may refer to Fifth Third's Discovery Responses. Further, Debtor is already in possession of any communications to/from the Debtor.
 - Objection: Burdensome, Oppressive, Overbroad - This discovery request is broad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and is oppressive. To comply with the request would be an undue burden and expense on the Fifth Third. The request is calculated to annoy and harass Fifth Third. Creditor has no duty to create or modify responsive documents for the Debtor.
 - Objection: Attorney-Client Privilege, Attorney Work-Product Protection - The request seeks information subject to the attorney-client privilege. The attorney-client privilege is broadly construed, and extends to "factual information" and "legal advice." Further, this discovery request seeks attorney work product. Debtor broadly seeks internal communications, memoranda, and notes, which may contain information subject to attorney-client privilege.
 - Objection: Proprietary/Confidential Information - This discovery request attempts to obtain confidential/proprietary information. Debtor broadly seeks internal communications, memoranda, and notes, which may contain propriety or confidential information.
- Request #7: Any and all communications and/or documents sent to the Debtor at the commencement of Fifth Third servicing the Loan including the address where the documents were sent.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses. Debtor may refer to Fifth Third's Discovery Responses. Further, Debtor is already in possession of any communications to/from the Debtor.
 - Objection: Burdensome, Oppressive, Overbroad - This discovery request is broad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and is oppressive. To comply with the request would be an undue burden and expense on the Fifth Third. The request is calculated to annoy and harass Fifth Third. Creditor has no duty to create or modify responsive documents for the Debtor.
- Request #8: Any and all contracts and/or agreements between you and the Debtor.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses.
 - Objection: Equally Available - The information sought in this interrogatory is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's Proof of Claim and prior Discovery Responses.
 - Objection: Vague and Ambiguous - Fifth Third objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous. Once Debtor provides additional information to clarify the vague and ambiguous terms used in this request, Fifth Third will be in a position to amend its response to this request, if necessary.
- Request #9: All documents evidencing any charges, fees, advances for taxes and insurance, or any amount applied for the Loan.

- Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses. Debtor may refer to the Proof of Claim or the previously produced payment histories with Fifth Third's Discovery Responses.
- Objection: Burdensome, Oppressive, Overbroad - This discovery request is broad and unlimited as to scope as to be an unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, and is oppressive. To comply with the request would be an undue burden and expense on the Fifth Third. The request is calculated to annoy and harass Fifth Third. Creditor has no duty to create or modify responsive documents for the Debtor.
- Request #10: Any and all documents evidencing any transfer or assignment of the note, deed of trust and/or mortgage related to the Loan.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses.
 - Objection: Equally Available - The information sought in this interrogatory is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's Proof of Claim and prior Discovery Responses. Further, this document is matter of public record.
- Request #11: Any and all documents that identify the owner of the mortgage Loan as well as documents governing the relationship between Fifth Third Mortgage Servicing and the owner of the mortgage Loan.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses.
 - Objection: Equally Available - The information sought in this interrogatory is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's Proof of Claim and prior Discovery Responses.
- Request #12: Any and all documents and electronically stored information related to or documenting the transactions through which you acquired the portfolio of the servicing rights that included the servicing right of the Loan.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses. Debtor may refer to Fifth Third's Discovery Responses.
 - Objection: Proprietary/Confidential Information - This discovery request attempts to obtain confidential/proprietary information.
 - Objection: Vague and Ambiguous - Fifth Third objects to this Request on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous. Once Debtor provides additional information to clarify the vague and ambiguous terms used in this request, Fifth Third will be in a position to amend its response to this request, if necessary.
 - Objection: Relevance - This Request is not relevant to the Contested Matter and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence related to any contested issue.
- Request #13: Any and all Form 1098, Mortgage Interest Statement, for the above loan.
 - Objection: Asked and Answered. This discovery request has, in substance, been previously propounded and responded as explained in Fifth Third's above responses.
 - Objection: Equally Available - The information sought is equally available to the Debtor. Debtor may refer to the Fifth Third's prior Discovery Responses. Further, said documents were sent to the Debtor in the ordinary course of business.

1 Based on the foregoing, Fifth Third asserts the Subpoena and production requests are
2 unwarranted. Fifth Third requests the Court issue a protective order preventing Debtor from
3 continuing its pursuit of: (1) documents already produced by Fifth Third; (2) documents already
4 in the Debtor's possession, or equally available to the Debtor; (3) irrelevant documents that are
5 not the subject of a current contested matter between the Parties; (4) privileged, proprietary, or
6 confidential documents of Fifth Third, which are irrelevant to a contested matter; (5) and any other
7 discovery not based on Fifth Third's pending Plan Objection.

8 **B. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FIFTH THIRD SEEKS A PROTECTIVE ORDER**
9 **LIMITING THE SCOPE OF THE SUBPOENA**

10 In the alternative Fifth Third seeks a protective order modifying and limiting the scope of
11 the Subpoena and the requested documents.

12 First, Fifth Third objects to every production request to the extent it seeks
13 confidential/proprietary information from Fifth Third's business records. Specifically, Fifth Third
14 asserts production requests 4, 6, and 12 attempt to elicit confidential information regarding Fifth
15 Third's internal practices, policies, or procedures, including notes, commentary, and memoranda.
16 Further, Debtor requests servicing agreements and contracts between Fifth Third and third parties.
17 Moreover, the requests seek information, which may be subject to the attorney-client privilege.
18 The attorney-client privilege is broadly construed, and extends to "factual information" and "legal
19 advice." Further, the requests seek attorney work product.

20 Second, the periods for which documents and information requested to be produced are not
21 tailored to Debtor's claims in *this* Bankruptcy Case or the resolution of the Plan Objections.
22 Indeed, Debtor demands production of documents from the commencement of loan servicing.
23 Thus, the requests are overbroad and unlimited in scope. Accordingly, the requests are calculated
24 to annoy, embarrass, or oppress Fifth Third. To comply with these requests would be an undue
25 burden and expense on Fifth Third.

26 Finally, as discussed above, many of the loan documents are readily accessible to Debtor
27 via its own records, the claims register, public records and documents on file with the Bankruptcy
28 Court. As discussed above, Fifth Third already produced thousands of pages of additional

1 documents in response to the Debtor's Discovery Requests. Rather than reviewing said documents,
2 Debtor seeks production of the **same documents, from all servicers for all properties/loans**. The
3 requests are duplicative and intended to harass or annoy Fifth Third. As a result, Fifth Third asserts
4 the documents requested in the Subpoena were either (i) already produced to the Debtor; (ii)
5 equally available to the Debtor; or (iii) objectionable as outlined above.

6 Based on the foregoing, to the extent the Court determines the Subpoena is reasonable,
7 Fifth Third seeks a protective order modifying and limiting the scope of the Subpoena as outlined
8 above.

9 **WHEREFORE**, Fifth Third respectfully requests:

- 10 1. That the court issue a Protective Order as outlined above;
- 11 2. In the alternative, that the Court modify the Subpoena as outlined above;
- 12 and
- 13 3. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

14 Respectfully submitted:

15 **ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP**

16 Dated: June 11, 2021

17 /s/ Eddie R. Jimenez
18 EDDIE R. JIMENEZ
19 Attorneys for Fifth Third Mortgage Company
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EDDIE R. JIMENEZ (SBN 10376)
ejimenez@aldridgepite.com
ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP
7220 South Cimarron Road, Suite 140
Las Vegas, NV 89113
Telephone: (858) 750-7600
Facsimile: (619) 590-1385

Mailing Address:
4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200
P.O. Box 17933
San Diego, California 92177-0933

Attorneys for Fifth Third Mortgage Company

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re

SCHULTE PROPERTIES LLC,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 18-12734-mkn
Chapter 11

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Priscilla Johnson declare that:

I am employed by Aldridge Pite, LLP. My business address is: 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200; P.O. Box 17933, San Diego, CA 92177-0933. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this cause.

On June 11, 2021, I caused the FIFTH THIRD MORTGAGE COMPANY'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF SUBPOENA [FED. R. CIV. PROC. 26(c); FED R. BANKR. PROC. 7026; LBR 7037] to be served on the parties listed herein via electronic means through the Court's CM/ECF system or by placing a copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail, addressed as follows:

///

///

Schulte Properties LLC
9811 W. Charleston Blvd Ste 2-351
Las Vegas, NV 89117

Matthew L. Johnson
Johnson & Gubler, P.C.
8831 West Sahara Avenue
Las Vegas, NV 89117
annabelle@mjohnsonlaw.com

U.S. Trustee
Department of Justice
300 Las Vegas Boulevard, SO.
Suite 4300 Las Vegas, NV 89101
USTPRegion17.LV.ECF@usdoj.gov

/s/ Priscilla Johnson